

Circling to land

Company's and boards must be prepared to face the messy reality of ESG.



Photo by [Angela Compagnone](#) on [Unsplash](#)

Environment, Social and Governance (ESG) is all a rage today. Boards and companies are rushing to embrace it, investors are showcasing their green credentials, and what's more, governments are committing to meeting ever stringent targets, with a bit more seriousness, I hope, than their promise to be more fiscally prudent in future. But the ducks don't seem to line up. Companies expect regulators to tell them what to do. Investors hope that they will be given digestible data to integrate with their stock selection. And regulators hope that if they mandate targets in line with global commitments, companies will find the secret sauce.

There are ofcourse those who propose that we will know what to do if only we 'look west,' but fail to acknowledge that while in these markets may have focussed on ESG before we did (- many will debate this), most entities, like their Indian counterparts, continue to approach this tentatively. Surprisingly, even after this head-start they offer no clear road map - infact there is there is even a lack of agreement regarding what constitutes ESG.

Last summer, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce's Centre for Capital Markets Competitiveness (CCMC), together with NASDAQ and a few others, published the [findings of their survey](#) regarding practices and the outlook for climate change and ESG reporting from the public company perspective. In sharp contrast most companies appear cautious about the term ESG. Only 8% say ESG encompasses a generally understood set of issues and can be easily defined by regulators. 61% say it is a subjective term that means different things to different companies and is difficult to define. And this is when the survey finds that over the last decade most companies have increased the amount of climate change disclosures that they provide.

Compounding this blurriness is that the conventional cost-benefit analysis will not point the way forward. In the context of climate, it extends well 'beyond the traditional horizons of most actors in imposing a cost on future generations that the current generation has no direct incentive to fix.' Mark Carney, when Governor of the Bank of England, fittingly called this the [tragedy of the horizons](#). Social has its own set of challenges, and companies need to move away from matrices that the west wants companies to report on and target what matters to us as a nation – so expect social to have the steepest climb. For most governance comes first as a company that has strong governance will be managed well in every way. Thankfully it has bright lines drawn out.

This lack of clarity does not mean that boards and companies do nothing till they see the runway. To talk of just two aspects: what is to be done – related to this is who decides and reporting and communication. Unsurprisingly, both point to the need for boards to chart their own path.

Boards need to ensure that the company has rightly identified the ESG risks and the opportunities (- new technologies, product markets etc.). This implies a comprehensive review of financial performance, risk management, supply chains, increased revenue potential and competitive differentiation.

How does the board work on this? Again, there is no one way. A [recent BCG study](#) regarding board practices finds that the most common approach (31%) for anchoring ESG into board governance is assigning oversight of these issues to the full board. This is followed by having the issues governed by a dedicated ESG committee of the board (20%) or by having just one member of the board—with no separate committee—lead on ESG issues (15%). The right structure, says report "will depend on factors such as the composition and ESG knowledge base of the board, its existing governance practices, and the maturity of both the company and the board when it comes to addressing ESG topics."

An important part of this exercise is setting both the intermediate goals and final goals; this includes drawing up the transition plans – because having the eventual target stretching out a couple of decades into the future, will mean that these are side stepped. Further, there is the need to tie these in targets to the senior management’s compensation.

Having identified the goals, companies need to report their numbers. The CCM survey cited finds that the basic disclosures are driven by one or more of the standard setting bodies like Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (44%), Global Reporting Initiative (31%), Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosure (29%), CDP (21%). But “46% of the firms surveyed said that almost as much of the additional disclosure are driven by shareholders asking for more information.”

So, while I expect the business responsibility and sustainability reporting (BRSR) will provide our boards greater clarity, these will need to be bolstered by additional disclosures to conform to global expectations. And there will be the additional need to communicate on ESG matters with stakeholders, other than investors, and specially employees - who will be driving progress towards these ESG goals. Better still, measuring and reporting the benefits of ESG will make it endure.

Companies and boards must prepare to face the messy reality of ESG. Regulations, no doubt, will standardize ESG disclosures and provide investors and stakeholders with comparable information. But this will not be the end, as multiple requirements and guidance will continue to flow and expectations build up to step beyond the regulatory ambit. Boards, it appears, must prepare to circle, not land.



A modified version of this blog first appeared in Business Standard on 24 May 2022. To read the blog click this [link](https://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/circling-to-land-122052301834_1.html) or copy this url to your browser: https://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/circling-to-land-122052301834_1.html

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS). The information contained herein is solely from publicly available data, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied on as such. IiAS shall not be in any way responsible for any loss or damage that may arise to any person from any inadvertent error in the information contained in this report. This document is provided for assistance only and is not intended to be and must not be taken as the basis for any voting or investment decision and/or construed as legal opinion/advice. The user assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Each recipient of this document should make such investigation as it deems necessary to arrive at an independent evaluation of the individual resolutions referred to in this document (including the merits and risks involved). The discussions or views expressed may not be suitable for all investors. The information given in this document is as of the date of this report and there can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with this information. This information is subject to change without any prior notice. IiAS reserves the right to make modifications and alterations to this statement as may be required from time to time. However, IiAS is under no obligation to update or keep the information current. Nevertheless, IiAS would be happy to provide any information in response to specific queries. No copyright infringement is intended in the preparation of this document. Neither IiAS nor any of its affiliates, group companies, directors, employees, agents or representatives shall be liable for any damages whether direct, indirect, special or consequential including lost revenue or lost profits that may arise from or in connection with the use of the information. This report may cover listed companies (the 'subject companies'); IiAS may hold a nominal number of shares in some of the subject companies to the extent disclosed on its website and/or these companies might have subscribed to IiAS' services or might be shareholders of IiAS. IiAS, its research analyst(s) and their associates or relatives, do not have any financial interest of one per cent or more in any of the subject companies. The disclosures of interest statements incorporated in this document are provided solely to enhance the transparency and should not be treated as endorsement of the views expressed in the report.

Confidentiality

This information is strictly confidential and is being furnished to you solely for your information. This information should not be reproduced or redistributed or passed on directly or indirectly in any form to any other person or published, copied, in whole or in part, for any purpose. This report is not directed or intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction, where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law, regulation or which would subject IiAS to any registration or licensing requirements within such jurisdiction. The distribution of this document in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law, and persons in whose possession this document comes, should inform themselves about and observe, any such restrictions. The information provided in these reports remains, unless otherwise stated, the copyright of IiAS. All layout, design, original artwork, concepts and other Intellectual Properties, remains the property and copyright of IiAS and may not be used in any form or for any purpose whatsoever by any party without the express written permission of the copyright holders.

Other Disclosures

IiAS is a SEBI registered entity (proxy advisor registration number: INH000000024) dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinions, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions of about 800 listed Indian companies (<https://www.iiasadvisory.com/iias-coverage-list>). Our products and services include voting advisory reports, standardized services under the Indian Corporate Governance Scorecard, and databases (www.iiasadrian.com and www.iiascompayre.com). There are no significant or material orders passed against the company by any of the Regulators or Courts/Tribunals.

The article is a commentary on general trends in the capital market.

About IiAS

Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS) is an advisory firm, dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinions, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions for about 900 companies that account for over 95% of market capitalization.

IiAS provides bespoke research on governance, ESG, and benchmark governance practices, assists institutions in their engagement with company managements and their boards and offers a cloud base repository of resolutions and voting outcomes, institutional voting and voting rationales, www.iiasadrian.com

IiAS has equity participation by Aditya Birla Sunlife AMC Limited, Axis Bank Limited, Fitch Group Inc., HDFC Investments Limited, ICICI Prudential Life Insurance Company Limited, Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited, RBL Bank Limited, Tata Investment Corporation Limited, UTI Asset Management Company Limited and Yes Bank Limited.

IiAS is a SEBI registered entity (proxy advisor registration number: INH000000024).